



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.48, No.13

April 5th, 2012

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

Sir James Elder's Speech from 'the throne': "National Bank Puts the Brake on Recovery": The address of Sir James Elder, chairman of the National Bank, at the annual meeting of that firm last week recalls to mind two characteristics of the private banks that have previously been noticed in these columns. One is that, just as public houses have a fancy for linking themselves with the names of the blood royal, so the banks like to tie themselves up with the nation. Hence we have the National Bank, the Bank of Australasia, the still more ambitious English, Scottish and Australian Bank, and similar titles arrogantly assumed by those who, after all, are only private traders and whose occupation (according to themselves) is of the nature of pawn-broking.

The second characteristic is the impudent assumption by the persons directing these firms of a special call to review, and generally to castigate the behaviour of the nation. A Speech from the Throne has nothing on the annual address of a bank director.

It may be, however, that there is some justification in fact, if not in morals, for this latter attitude, since the bankers are unquestionably above the Throne—a position they have held in British countries ever since they put the King into second place by the establishment of the Bank of England and the national debt.

And so Sir James Elder, following bankers' practice and his own precedents of previous years, told us how we should run our country. Taxation, public revenue and expenditure, defence, old age pensions, unemployment, debt adjustment legislation, exports and imports—on these and other matters he delivered his weighty judgments.

Summing up, he came to the conclusion that our greatest national danger was lest we might recover too rapidly, "and a too rapid recovery would only exhaust itself under its own momentum and inflict harm on the whole community". — *"The New Times"* — Melbourne, Friday, June 5th, 1936

A LANDSLIDE FOR THE LIBERAL/NATIONAL COALITION by Betty Luks: So Queenslanders who had had enough of Labor, gave them a good trouncing and put the Liberal/Nationals into government. With their huge majority, Lib/Nats now have no excuse to fall back on when their real agenda dismally fails the Queensland people. Like Bob Katter of the Australian Party, I don't see too much difference between Labor and the Lib/Nats, but Queenslanders are going to have to learn that lesson by living with the fruits of the Lib/Nat policies, by experiencing them, for the next few years. (We were told 'you cannot get figs from thistles').

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *A Landslide For The Liberal/National Coalition* • *Why Are Conservatives Losers?* • *Guess Who Comes To Dine With The Latest 'Head Honcho'?* • *Immigration, Asianisation And Chinese Colonisation* • *Corporate Capitalism Has Commandeered All The Institutions* • *Phew! So Soon! And We Have Only Just Now Warned You!*

"ON TARGET"

April 5th, 2012

Page 1 (49)

One of the policies of the Queensland Liberal/National Coalition: "... restoring accountability to government and getting back our AAA credit rating." In other words the Money Power will continue to reign supreme, and the Lib/Nats will remain subservient - to the detriment of the people of Queensland!

WHY ARE CONSERVATIVES LOSERS? by Peter Ewer and Chris Knight: Alex Kurtagic, "Why Conservatives Always Lose", February 27, 2012, www.Alternativeright.com, points out that both the Left and the Right consists of Liberals, those radical and those less radical. Thus "liberalism and conservatism are complementary and mutually reinforcing partners, rather than contrasting enemies." As we see it, conservatives (Thatcher, Howard, Abbott etc.) only accept token "traditionalist" values, and in defence of the free market, their one and true god, will let family, Queen and country go to the wall.

Conservatism today is yesterday's liberalism. The liberal-Left charge ahead creating social change, which, after people adapt to the poison, becomes the new status quo that conservatives then strive to "conserve". No conservative political leaders defend women's traditional roles and oppose the entire post-1970s grab-bag of women's lib, for example. That task is left to a small, largely American, Christian fringe group.

Highly relevant to our struggle Kurtagic concludes: "The degeneration of the West is tied to the degeneration of liberalism. The West will be renewed when the liberals come crashing down. They will be reduced to an obsolete and irrelevant subculture living off memories and preoccupied with 'conserving' whatever they have left.

Once regenerated, the West will continue until its tradition self-destructs or is replaced by another. Whatever tradition replaces ours will be autochthonous, but it could well be the tradition of another race. If that proves so, that will be the end of our race. Thus, so long as our race remains vibrant, able to give birth to new metaphysics when old ones die, we may live on, and be masters of our destiny."

GUESS WHO COMES TO DINE WITH THE LATEST 'HEAD HONCHO'? Certainly not the factory worker, the farmer, the men and women who produce the real wealth of the nation. The guests who come to dinner are the bankers, the traders and even an oil baron." The article on the "Prime Minister's private guests all had one thing in common: wealth," wrote Oliver Wright in *The Independent*, 27 March 2012. (I would have used the words money, power. The word 'wealth' contains the meaning of 'well being'; e.g., the 'CommonWealth' of Australia. Have you noticed politicians don't refer to the *Common-wealth* of Australia anymore?...ed).

"Cash for Cameron: Eat, drink and be lobbied: On a cold night last November the three men and their wives went through the famous Downing Street door, past the state rooms where guests are normally entertained and up to the more intimate surroundings of David and Samantha Cameron's top-floor flat.

The next day Mr. Cameron was to travel to Cannes for the G20 summit. But this was one "social dinner" – as Downing Street insisted on referring to it yesterday – that the Prime Minister needed to fulfil. Between them, his guests had given or loaned the Conservative party more than £7m... Now Mr. Cameron faces awkward questions about the extent to which money can provide access to the Government and how much he is influenced by those who hold the purse strings of his party. Perhaps the most curious invitee at the Downing Street dinner was Ian Taylor, who gave the Tories £50,000 in 2009..."

Read further<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/guess-who-came-to-dinner-bankers-traders-and-an-oil-baron-7586364.html>

IMMIGRATION, ASIANISATION AND CHINESE COLONISATION...THE ESTABLISHMENT

WAY by James Reed: When Japan lost World War II and was occupied by the Allies, primarily the United States, did Japan begin a massive importation of white and black Americans? No, it did not. It maintained its national ethno-racial character and struggled to rebuild and become a great nation again. *Identity* was the key. But after World War II the Global Elites, frightened of the runaway powers of White nationalism, thought that it would be best for corporate profits if the White race, primarily Nordics (Northern Europeans) was dissolved and passively eliminated. Hence the immigration which we now experience.

Minister for Immigration and Citizenship, Chris Bowen, "Immigration Paves Our Way into the Asian Century", *The Australian*, March 9, 2012, p.14, tells us that China has now replaced Britain as the major source country for migrants. Bowen goes on to celebrate skilled migration from Asia, saying, "All of this has occurred with little public criticism. The days of John Howard or Pauline Hanson warning of the social upheaval caused by Asian migration seem like an eon ago." Bowen then trots out myths, refuted by Bob Birrell, that without skilled migration the labour force will contract by 25%. By 2050, as I see it, there will be nothing left of the "Australia" we have known, if not the world.

Bowen goes on to see "Australia" as basically blessed by the Asian century. He goes on to note that "migrants add more to our population each year than natural increase." Bowen does not spell out the obvious consequences of this argument: White people in Australia (given intermixture/miscegenation, below net reproduction birth ratios due to feminism etc.) are facing extinction or at a minimum minority status.

The elites accept this because of their commitment to the cosmopolitan philosophy of money. Bowen's article is all about short-term benefits from the rise of Asia. But what about the fall of Asia? They have risen and fallen before. The business elites, as recent headlines show ("Rio, ANZ Call for Free Flow of Asia Trade", *The Weekend Australian*, 10-12 March 2012, p.1; "Apathy Putting Spoils of Asia at Risk", *The Australian*, 1 March 2012, p.5) essentially are blind to the possibility or likelihood of Asia going down.

Yet from the environmentalist camp, if the resource depletion theorists are correct, then the limits to growth spells a catastrophic end to the Asian miracle. Their economies will hit the ground hard. A slight slowdown in China's growth rate from 8% to 7.5% is "casting a shadow over commodity markets and Australia's dependence on the Chinese export market." (*The Australian*, 6 March, 2012, p.1) Such is the price of economic Asianisation.

Further consider "Beijing's Threat to Pull Mining Funds over Our U.S. Ties", *The Australian*, 6 March 2012, p.1. China's Knowfar Institute of Strategic Defence Studies says that China should use economic pressure, including diverting mining interests to other countries to prevent Australia having closer military ties to the US.

A new cold war is developing and our new class elites are seeking to defect Australia from the West by Asianisation. They are succeeding because there is no organised opposition to it. We need the same sort of anti-globalist, anti-immigration movement which Europeans have.

CORPORATE CAPITALISM HAS COMMANDEERED ALL THE INSTITUTIONS... of the **Social Order**, writes Frances Hutchinson. Economist Dr. Frances Hutchinson details the background behind the story of "Brer Fox an' Brer Rabbit" and asks is it "Just a Game"? She emphasises the importance of a community's games and folk tales as she introduces the reader/player to modern REM (Rational Economic Man).

"Without opening a single book on the subject, the player of *Monopoly* learns the principles of property acquisition and management under the real-life rules of capitalism.

Games as Story – Rational Economic Man: "Traditionally, folk tales provided intellectual, moral and spiritual guidance to the community as a whole. The story taught by Monopoly in the twentieth century is very different. It is the story of Rational Economic man (REM). REM operates on the principles of pure self-interest. What's in it for me? is the sole criterion for action. If a job is worth doing it is worthy being paid, and paid in money, because money gives the power to get your own way. REM springs to life like a mushroom, fully grown and equipped educationally to take part in the game. No parents brought him up, and he has no dependents. No sick relatives depend on him, neither is he sick or infirm. REM has no need for food, no need to care for the land. He just follows his own desires." Sound familiar gentle reader?

Put aside the time to download and set up the [PDF] Brer Fox an Brer Rabbit pamphlet and after reading the article and instructions in full, gather around the family dining table to play the game : douglassocialcredit.com/.../Brer%20Fox%20pamphlet%20RH.pdf<

Postscript from the national director, Louis Cook: "*Monopoly* is an interesting game in its own way... my father learned to play *Monopoly* as a prisoner of war in Changi. My brother received a game from Santa for Christmas and I was given a chess set (which I still have) in 1945 after Dad came home.

When we kids played *Monopoly*, invariably, there were squabbles and the game often ended in disarray for many reasons but mainly to do with *shortage of money and bankruptcy*. When Dad played he was always the banker, as well as a player, and when any player was short of money "the bank declared a dividend for all" and the game continued amicably until a set time (usually bedtime), when all was valued according to the rules and the winner was the player with the highest value of assets.

My father did not know it, nor did I at the time, but he was invoking a "national dividend". It was many years later when I recalled this particular "home rule"... I suppose it was a POW in the camp familiar with Social Credit who added the rule to the game, so passing time in camp. Dad said prisoners even used "monopoly money" as currency in the camp. I guess real bankers were far from the scene in those days!

PHEW! SO SOON! AND WE HAVE ONLY JUST NOW WARNED YOU! The ink was hardly dry on the above article when a media release came through from Rob Katter, the newly elected Katter's Australian Party Member for Mount Isa (and Bob Katter's son). Rob Katter 'slammed' the decision by Campbell Newman to proceed with asset sales started by the former Bligh Labor Government.

"Premier Newman has declared that it is a key priority for the LNP Government to sell off an additional \$3.2 billion government stake in QR National. "This bloke has barely been in office for a day and already he has announced that the LNP will proceed full steam ahead with the Bligh Government's asset sale program," said Rob Katter.

"Queenslanders might have voted for change but when it comes to asset sales the LNP has proved that it will only provide more of the same." Privatisation is in the DNA of the Liberal Party and you can bet your bottom dollar that they will begin the process of flogging off water and electricity as soon as they can. That is exactly what happened in New South Wales. The Liberal Government went to voters promising that they would not privatise electricity and six months later it was all up for sale. Asset sales only result in cost of living increases. Big, foreign-owned companies move in and buy up the essential services built by Australians and then sell them back to us so they can make a profit."

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.